

TO: THE EDITOR and ALL FELLOW AMERICANS

BOB DOLE FOR PRESIDENT? NOT ON KANSAS CITY RESOLVE PRESIDENTIAL PLATFORM!

Senator Bob Dole, at his town meeting held at the Holiday Inn in Fargo, North Dakota on July 7, 1995 presented much interesting political rhetoric. He told of how he had helped salvage the Social Security fund saying: "I am proud to have been a part of the Committee which corrected the faulty Social Security System in 1983. We have established the stability of the Social Security System until the year 2020 and beyond."

The truth of the matter is that there is not one thin dime in the Social Security trust fund. The fund consists only of certificates of debt, more worthless corporate United States notes!

Senator Dole displayed his cultured ignorance in other areas as well, important areas on which our freedom and the nation's economy hinge. At the meeting, I was fortunate to be able to pose two key questions to Senator Dole. They were as follows:

1. "Senator Dole, you and I both know that Social Security is not a contract." That being said, I asked Senator Dole, "As a presidential candidate, where do you stand on doing away with the FED, an unconstitutional, private, international bankers' cartel which controls the debt money system which cannot work?"

2. Secondly, "Where do you stand on rescinding the 'War Emergency Powers/Trading with the Enemy Acts' signed by FDR after being unconstitutionally 'passed' by the Congress on March 9, 1933? This unconstitutional travesty which wholly abrogated our Constitution and named We the People the 'enemy'?"

Senator Dole answered neither question. He commented that "that is a two part question" and proceeded to do his political dance concluding with a comment that he did not have all the facts regarding the latter question and would have to do some more research on it. He then went on to the next question from the audience.

If Senator Dole, after his many years in political office, has not researched or become informed on the Trading with the Enemy/ War Powers Acts recognizing how our government has been operating since 1933, he certainly should have. Likewise, if he does not understand our current monetary debt system and the effect which the FED has had on this nation's economy to speak intelligently on the subject, he does not appear to me to be presidential material.

Senator Dole also made reference to the Tenth Amendment of the Constitution and assured the audience that when he becomes president, he will do all he can to reduce big government and

restore government to the people. Yet, his actions as Senator in supporting NAFTA, GATT, the Brady Bill and other unconstitutional activities pushed by Bill Clinton suggest that he is as supportive of One World Government and the "New World Order" as are his confreres (i.e. Socialist Comrades) in the White House. Henry Kissinger himself said that "NAFTA is a giant stepping stone to the New World Order". Senator Dole's actions speak louder than his words.

Bob Dole's family were devoted FDR worshipping Democrats. When Bob Dole decided to enter politics after his military experience, he did so as an opportunist Republican since the Democratic field was too crowded. Bob Dole became one of the first 'Demoblican/Republicrats'. Will Rogers was quite correct in saying there wasn't a pinch of snoose difference between the two parties. That statement rings even more true today.

Bob Dole cannot, in any way possible, be a candidate eligible to run on the Kansas City Resolve Presidential Platform as adopted and signed on December 4, 1994. This platform is based upon the Declaration of Independence and the Bill of Rights, a copy of which follows.

Bob Dole has not only violated his oath of office as a public servant and as an officer of the Washington, DC corporation, which is less stringent than the oath taken by him upon entry into the military in the early '40's, but also his military oath as well. His oath taken at that time obligated him for as long as Almighty God granted him the breath of life. In that oath, he solemnly swore "to support and defend the Constitution of the United States of America against ALL enemies, both foreign and domestic and that I will obey the orders of those appointed over me, for conscience sake; So help me God." WE THE PEOPLE are Bob Dole's employers, those appointed over him. He is supposed to be OUR servant. But whose servant is he?

On December 2 and 3, 1994, a nationally represented conference of Constitutionists, and by the Grace of God mostly Christian Patriots like our Founding Fathers, formulated and unanimously approved a Presidential party platform for the 1996 election -- providing there is one. This platform, with its ideals of returning to our country's foundational principles and based upon the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution and Bill of Rights, is called "the Kansas City Resolve". The resolve is to return government to "We the People."

The organization that initiated this action is seeking potential, qualifying candidates for various offices including presidential candidates. Candidates eligible to run on this party's platform must meet certain requirements and stipulations. To begin with, the candidates for President must be able to satisfactorily answer the two questions posed to Senator Bob Dole, "what are you going to do about the FED and the Trading with the Enemy/War

Powers Acts? So far, none of the Demoblican/Republicrats have responded appropriately to those questions.

The organization is seeking a candidate who will truly be a people's choice candidate, not one served up by the world bankers/CFR/New World Order gang, which has been the case over the past 60 plus years.

We at Constitutionists Networking Center in Baldwin, Kansas invite any and all potential candidates to apply, and we humbly plead with all Americans to participate through joining and forming "TAKE AMERICA BACK" teams throughout our land, which was once "the land of the free and the home of the brave".

We the people care about our government and most assuredly, we are resolved to fight for the God-given freedoms which our Forefathers recognized and established in our Constitutional Republican form of government.

GOD BLESS AMERICA and GOD BLESS "THE KANSAS CITY RESOLVE"!

Sincerely in Christ,



Ron Stuart, His servant and watchman

Free Enterprise Society

Fraud is Fraud

When is fraud not fraud? The US government would have you believe that when government commits fraud to achieve an important end then fraud is not an issue. Or when a candidate for a public office intentionally lies to you to obtain your vote, this is not fraud.

Well, let's take a look at Title 18 United States Code. This is the criminal code. Section 1001 which is titled **Statements or entries generally.**

"Whoever, in any matter...knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing...shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than five years or both."

Now if a person lies to you to get your money, then this person has committed fraud. If a person lies to you to

get your vote, has he committed fraud?

I say fraud is fraud! I am personally ill over the elections and those people who lied to get your vote to be elected. If they lied to get your vote, then they actually lied to get your money. No difference!

As in any crime the accuser must bear the burden of proof to show that the person intentionally lied in regard to some issue or issues. In the case of President Clinton, even some of his aids have stated that he planned statements to mislead people to gain votes.

When asked now about these statements he has tried denying certain promises or has said that we misinterpreted his promises. This is the same type of excuse used by the most common of criminals.

We need to accept the attitude that this type of fraud is a serious crime as I believe it is.

Now some of you would argue that all candidates lie to gain office and therefore it would be a foolish goal to try to prosecute this type of fraud. On the other hand, I would argue that after just a few candidates or public officials begin serving 5 years of prison time for their lies, that many candidates and public officials would quickly become more honest.

If no one is being held responsible for these statements, then it breeds irresponsible statements and actions. (This is a main theme of humanists; if you don't get caught then it is legal and moral.)

Encourage your U.S. attorney to enforce the fraud statutes against these crimes.

Granted, some or all United States attorneys may be derelict in this duty, but times will change!